

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/903,612	07/13/2001	Yuri Poeluev	06944.0040	2200
22852	7590 07/13/2005		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	09/903,612	POELUEV ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kaveh Abrishamkar	2131			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☑ This action is FINAL.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on April 22, 2005. Claims 1-10 were originally received for consideration. Per the received amendment, claims 1, 4, 5, 8-10 are amended. Claims 1-20 are currently being considered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed April 22, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because:

Regarding claim 1, the applicant argues that the cited prior art (CPA), Badamo et al. (U.S. Publication No. US2002/0184487), does not teach "examining the data packets to determine whether the packets should be processed." This argument is not found persuasive. The CPA teaches that the "packets enter the ingress processor 50 where they are processed" (page 4, paragraph 55). This is believed to teach the limitation disclosed by claim 1. Furthermore, the applicant argues that the CPA does not teach, "modifying the data packet to provide cryptographic functions." This argument is not found persuasive. The CPA discloses, "on the egress side, end-to-end packets may be encapsulated, encrypted protocol translated, with authentication data generation, PPP

generation, and NAT" (page 5, paragraph 61). The packets that are de-encapsulated at the point when they enter the egress side, can have an encrypted protocol translated, which is a cryptographic function, and therefore, it is believed that this limitation is delineated by the CPA.

Therefore, the rejection for claims 1-10 is respectfully maintained as given below, and a objection to the specification is presented.

Specification

3. The amendment filed April 22, 2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: "included in a kernel of the operating system of the computer readable medium of the system."

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2131

4. Claims 1-3, 5, and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Badamo et al. (U. S. Publication 2002/0184487).

5. With respect to claim 1, Badamo et al. disclose a method for providing cryptographic functions to data packets at the PPP layer of a network stack (page 4, column 1, line 19), the method including the steps of:

Intercepting PPP datagrams inbound to said network stack and outbound of network stack (page 4, column 1, lines 15-16), said PPP datagrams having at least one encapsulated data packet en route along the protocol stack;

Decapsulating said PPP datagrams to retrieve said at least one encapsulated data packet (page 4, column 1, line 18);

Determining whether to process said at least on data packet by examining said data packet (page 4, column 1, lines 56-61);

Modifying said data packet to provide said cryptographic functions (page 5, column 1, lines 40-42); and

Encapsulating said at least one data packet (page 4, column 1, line 51) for transmission to a next layer of said network stack (page 4, column 1, lines 52-53).

6. With respect to claim 2, Badamo et al. disclose the method of claim, wherein said data packet is an IP packet (page 5, column 1, lines 64-66 to page 5, column 2, lines 1-2. One of average skill in the art is aware that it is inherent in

Art Unit: 2131

an IP packet to have a header, an address to which the IP packet is sent, and data for which the packet was created.

This inherency is also taught in RFC 791

of the IETF, in which they specify a datagram having data and a header in section 2.2, page 9 of the document, and specify the header as having destination and source addresses in section 3, page 14-18 of the document.) having a header, an address and data.

- 7. With respect to claim 3, Badamo et al. teach the method of claim 1 wherein said step of modifying said data packet includes the further step of selecting an IPSec protocol (page 5, column 1, lines 33-34, 36-37, 41).
- 8. With respect to claim 5, Badamo et al. disclose a system for processing data packets by providing cryptographic functions to data packets at the PPP layer of a network stack (page 4, column 1, line 19), said system having:

A packet interceptor to intercept PPP datagrams inbound to said network stack and outbound of said stack, said PPP datagrams including at least one data packet, and to decapsulate said PPP datagrams to retrieve said encapsulated IP packet (page 4, column 1, lines 43-54);

A security policy manager for storing processing rules for said data packets and selecting at least one of the processing rules for said data packet (page 6, column 1, lines 20-22); and

Art Unit: 2131

A processing module for processing said data packet by selecting and applying said cryptographic transformations on said data packet, said processing module in communication with said security policy manager (items 73 and 74*, page 6, column 1, lines 27-29);

Wherein PPP datagrams are intercepted in accordance with said processing rules (page 5, column 1, lines 33-34); the IPSec protocol is the protocol from which the processing rules and cryptographic transformations are implemented).

- 9. With respect to claim 8, Badamo et al. teach the system of claim 5, wherein the cryptographic transformations are implemented using an IPSec protocol by said processing module (page 5, column 1, lines 33-34, 36-37, 41).

 18. With respect to claim 9, Badamo et al. teach the system of claim 5, wherein secure communications between correspondents is provided via a virtual private network (page 1, column 2, lines 8-9).
- 10. With respect to claim 10, Badamo et al. teach a method for providing a cryptographic system for communication between correspondents in a communication network (Fig. 1) to data packets at the PPP layer of a network stack, said method having the step of:

Providing a security module in a computer readable medium (page 4, column 2, line 3-4 state that the processors that perform the functions of the

Art Unit: 2131

security module are fast path processor subsystems, and page 5, column 2, lines 3-4 state that fast path coprocessors are microprocessors, which are known in the art to be computer readable mediums.) at each of said correspondents, said security module having:

A packet interceptor to intercept PPP datagrams inbound to said network stack and outbound of said stack, said PPP datagrams including at least one data packet, and to decapsulate said PPP datagrams to retrieve said encapsulated IP packet (page 4, column 1, lines 43-54);

A security policy manager for storing processing rules for said data packets and selecting at least one of the processing rules for said data packet (page 6, column 1, lines 20-22), and

A processing module for processing said data packet by selecting and applying said cryptographic transformations on said data packet, said processing module in communication with said security policy manager (items 73 and 74; page 6, column 1, lines 27-29); and

Examining said data packets outbound from said correspondent to determine whether processing by said processing module is required (page 6, column 1, lines 55-58., The ingress and egress processors are used to process incoming and outgoing packets; and

Examining inbound data packets to said correspondent to determine whether processing by said processing module is required by checking whether said data packets include cryptographic functions (page 6, column 1, lines 55-

58).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Badamo et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0184487) in view of Ylonen et al. (U.S. Patent 6,438,612).
- 12. With respect to claim 4, Badamo teaches the limitations of claim 1, from which 4 is a dependent claim. Badamo does not teach the further extrapolation of claim 1, wherein the step of modifying the data packet includes further steps of checking the header information and acting upon said information. Ylonen et al. discloses further steps of modifying the data packet:

Checking header information of outbound packets to the network stack to determine if processing applies (column 8, lines 1 1-15; Ylonen et al. state that the selectors are used to determine if processing applies. According to column 4, lines 61-62, the selectors are specified by the security association. According to column 8, lines 15-18, the values that specify which security association is

Art Unit: 2131

relevant is obtained in the header of the packet.

Because the selectors are obtained from the security association, and the security association is obtained from the header of the packet, it can be said that the selectors can be obtained from the header of the packet); and

Checking header information of inbound packets to the network stack to determine if the data packets include cryptographic functions (column 8, lines 4-6*, The VNI is selected as a 'selector' in the security association during the negotiation of applying encryption and authentication. The selectors are obtained from the security association, which can be obtained from values designated in the packet header, as mentioned above. By checking if the security association specifies a VNI, the transmitting device is checking the outbound packet's cryptographic functions).

Both Badamo et al. and Ylonen et al. are analogous art because both are in the field of secure communications networks. It would have been obvious to one of average skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the step of Ylonen et al. with the method of Badamo et al. By doing so, the invention would have error-checking steps, and the likelihood of security problems encountered during or as a result of the invention would be decreased.

13. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Badamo et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0184487) in view of Lantto et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2004/0054794).

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/903,612

Art Unit: 2131

With respect to claims 6 and 7, Badamo et al. teach the limitations of claim 14. 5, which is the claim upon which claim 6 is dependent. Badamo et al. also teach a packet interceptor located at the PPP layer of the network stack (page 4, column 1, lines 9-11). However, Badamo et al. does not explicitly teach a packet interceptor at the PPP layer as a software module as recited in claim 6, nor does he teach a packet interceptor as a driver in the kernel of an operating system as recited in claim 7. In the Description of Related Ad, Lantto et al. discuss a wellknown prior art network packet interceptor implemented as a software module, more specifically implemented as a driver included in a kernel of an operating system (page 2, column 2, lines 45-49). Both Badamo et al. and Lantto et al. are analogous art because both are in the field of secure communications networks. It would have been obvious to one of average skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the kernel-mode driver implementation of a packet interceptor of Lantto et al. with the packet interceptor of Badamo et al. in which the packet interceptor was located at the PPP layer of the network stack because the driver implementation is well-known art that is commercially accepted and used in the field (Lantto et al: page 2, column 2, lines 43-49).

Art Unit: 2131

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaveh Abrishamkar whose telephone number is 571-272-3786. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2131

Page 12

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KA 06/27/2005

AYAZ SHEIKH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100